Amendment to the Constitution - Part 7 **Title of Report: Speaking Rights at Planning Meetings** Report to be Council considered by: Date of Meeting: 18 September 2014 C2859 Forward Plan Ref:

To propose an amendment to speaking rights for Ward **Purpose of Report:** Members that are Members of a Planning Committee at Planning Committee meetings.

- **Recommended Action:** To amend the Regulatory and Other Committees Rules of Procedure (Paragraph 7.13.4) which deal with the procedure for dealing with and the time allowed in respect of speaking rights at Planning Committee meetings.
- Reason for decision to be To respond to a request of the Planning Policy Task Group and the Portfolio Holder for Planning. taken:
- Other options considered: Not to change the speaking rights for Ward Members on **Planning Committees**

Key background documentation:

None

The proposals will help achieve the following Council Strategy principle:

CSP8 - Doing what's important well \bowtie

The proposals contained in this report will help to achieve the above Council Strategy principle by:

ensuring that the constitution is up to date and accords with the relevant legislation

Portfolio Member Details		
Name & Telephone No.:	Councillor Hilary Cole - Tel (01635) 248542	
E-mail Address:	hcole@westberks.gov.uk	
Date Portfolio Member agreed report:	Report e-mailed to Councillor Cole on 7 August 2014	
Contact Officer Details		

Contact Officer Details	
Name:	David Holling
Job Title:	Head of Legal Services
Tel. No.:	01635 519422
E-mail Address:	dholling@westberks.gov.uk

Implications

Policy:	Will require Part 7 of the Constitution to be amended
Financial:	None – will be undertaken within existing resources
Personnel:	None
Legal/Procurement:	Will require changes to the Constitution in accordance with relevant Local Government Acts
Property:	None
Risk Management:	Will reduce possible risk by ensuring that speaking rights for Ward Members who are Members of a Planning Committee and those who are not.
Corporate Board's Recommendation:	Report to proceed to Management Board for consideration.

Is this item relevant to equality?	Is this item relevant to equality? Please tick relevant boxes		Yes	No	
Does the policy affect service users, employees or the wider community and:					
 Is it likely to affect people wit differently? 	h particular protected chara	cteristics		\square	
 Is it a major policy, signific delivered? 	cantly affecting how funct	ons are		\square	
Will the policy have a significant impact on how other organisations operate in terms of equality?			\square		
• Does the policy relate to functions that engagement has identified as being important to people with particular protected characteristics?				\square	
Does the policy relate to an area with known inequalities?			\square		
Outcome (Where one or more 'Yes' boxes are ticked, the item is relevant to equality)					
Relevant to equality - Complete an EIA available at www.westberks.gov.uk/eia					
Not relevant to equality					
Is this item subject to call-in?	Yes:	No:			
If not subject to call-in please put a	cross in the appropriate box	:			
The item is due to be referred to Council for final approval					
Delays in implementation could have serious financial implications for the Council					
Delays in implementation could compromise the Council's position					
Considered or reviewed by Overview and Scrutiny Management Commission or associated Task Groups within preceding six months					
Item is Urgent Key Decision					
Report is to note only					

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

- 1.1 At a recent Planning Policy Task Group meeting Members requested that consideration be given to amending Part 7 of the Constitution, Regulatory and Other Committees Rules of Procedure (Paragraph 7.13.4) that deals with the time allowed in respect of speaking rights at Planning Committee meetings. The Portfolio Holder for Planning also requested that current situation be reviewed.
- 1.2 Currently Ward Members who are not Members of the Planning Committee are permitted five minutes to speak, which is the same as all other speakers. However, Ward Members who are members of a Planning Committee do not have any such time constraint.

2. Proposals

- 2.1 It is therefore proposed that Ward Members, who are Planning Committee Members, have the same five minute allocation to speak on any application within their ward, which is before the Committee for determination. This amendment would however not impact on their ability to take part in the debate on the application and make further comment during the ensuing debate. However a Ward Member's substantive address shall be made in accordance with the list of speakers set out below.
- 2.2 Where there were two or more Ward Members they too would be required to share the five minute speaking time unless one of the Ward Members wished to speak in support of the application and one Ward Member wished to object to it. Where the latter was the case each of the Ward Members would have five minutes to speak.
- 2.3 It is therefore proposed that paragraph 7.13.4 be amended as follows:

Time Allowed

The total time allowed for speeches in respect of each of the following groups of speakers shall not exceed five minutes or such longer period as the Chairman may allow with the consent of the Committee:

- Parish/Town Council representative(s);
- Adjoining Parish/Town Council representative(s);
- Objectors;
- Supporters;
- Applicant or agent;
- Adjoining Ward Member(s);
- Ward Member(s) (if not on the Committee).

Where more than one individual representing the parties accorded speaking rights wishes to speak, the Chairman may they consider it convenient and conducive to the despatch of the business of the meeting, require that a spokesperson be appointed to represent the views of the objectors or supporters as the case may be. Where there is more than one adjoining Parish/Town Council speaking but requiring a fundamentally different outcome of either refusal or approval, the speakers will be allowed five minutes each; where the outcome required is fundamentally the same, the five Minutes will be shared by the speakers.

Where there is more than one Ward Member speaking but requiring a fundamentally different outcome of either refusal or approval, the speakers will be allowed five minutes each; where the outcome required is fundamentally the same, the five Minutes will be shared by the speakers.

Ward Members on Planning Committees may take part in the debate or vote on an application where they are permitted to do so.

3. Equalities Impact Assessment Outcomes

3.1 This item is not relevant to equality.

4. Conclusion

4.1 This amendment if approved would provide greater equanimity to Ward Members addressing Planning Committees.

Appendices

There are no Appendices to this report.

Consultees

Local Stakeholders:	N/a
Officers Consulted:	Andy Day, Sarah Clarke, Corporate Board.
Trade Union:	N/a